

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LARRY LEE JACKS,
Plaintiff,
v.
C/O SIMPSON, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-00693-WBS-KJN P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On April 28, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Although plaintiff filed a declination of jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge and Request for Reassignment to United States District Judge, neither party filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record


1 and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 3 1. The findings and recommendations filed April 28, 2022, are **ADOPTED** in full; and
4 2. The above-entitled action is hereby summarily **REMANDED** to the Superior Court of
5 California, in and for the County of Sacramento, Case No. 34-2021-00310294-CU-PO-GDS.

6 Dated: June 3, 2022

7


8 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10 /jack0693.801

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28