1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	ROUBLE P. CLAIRE,	No. 2:22-cv-0780 TLN AC PS
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
13	SARA M. HOLLIS and JANE DOE,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	Because defendant Sara Hollis appears in pro se, this action was referred to a United	
17	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21). On June 14, 2023, the undersigned	
18	filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties. ECF No. 37.	
19	Plaintiff filed timely objections. ECF No. 38. Upon review of the objections, the undersigned	
20	WITHDRAWS the findings and recommendations at ECF No. 37.	
21	The court has reviewed the status report submitted by plaintiff and issues the following	
22	case schedule:	
23	SERVICE OF PROCESS	
24	Service of process is complete upon defendant Sara Hollis. Plaintiff has not served the	
25	remaining Jane Doe defendant.	
26	JOINDER OF PARTIES/AMENDMENTS	
27	No further joinder of parties or amendments to pleadings is permitted except with leave of	
28	court and upon a showing of good cause.	
		1

JURISDICTION/VENUE

1

Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint included claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and thus
this Court had subject matter jurisdiction over the claims per 28 U.S.C. Section 1331 (federal
question), 28 U.S.C. Section 1343 (civil rights), and 28 U.S.C. Section 1367. Although plaintiff's
Section 1983 claims have been resolved pursuant to acceptance of a Rule 68 offer of judgment,
the court retains supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. Section 1367.

7 **DISCOVERY**

8 All discovery shall be completed by January 19, 2024. The word "completed" means that 9 all discovery shall have been conducted so that all depositions have been taken and any disputes 10 relative to discovery shall have been resolved by appropriate order if necessary and, where 11 discovery has been ordered, the order has been complied with. Motions to compel discovery 12 must be noticed on the undersigned's calendar in accordance with the Local Rules and must be 13 heard not later than December 27, 2023.

The parties are reminded to review the "Standing Orders" posted on Judge Claire's webpage (http://www.caed.uscourts.gov/caednew/index.cfm/judges/all-judges/united-statesmagistrate-judge-allison-claire-ac/). The undersigned encourages early resolution of discovery disputes outside the formal Local Rule 251 procedures, when appropriate. Upon order of the court, or by agreement of the parties, Judge Claire will resolve minor or discrete discovery disputes by conducting an informal telephonic conference. Additional information is available on the court's website linked above.

21

EXPERT DISCLOSURE

The parties are to designate in writing, and serve upon all other parties, the names of all experts they propose to tender at trial in accordance with the following schedule: initial expert disclosures on or before December 23, 2023 rebuttal expert disclosures on or before January 23, 2024.

An expert witness not appearing on said lists will not be permitted to testify unless the party offering the witness demonstrates: (a) that the necessity of the witness could not have been reasonably anticipated at the time the lists were exchanged; (b) the court and opposing counsel were promptly notified upon discovery of the witness; and (c) that the witness was promptly proffered for deposition. Failure to provide the information required along with the expert designation may lead to preclusion of the expert's testimony or other appropriate sanctions.

4 For the purposes of this scheduling order, experts are defined as "percipient" and "Rule 5 26" experts. Both types of experts shall be listed. Percipient experts are persons who, because of 6 their expertise, have rendered expert opinions in the normal course of their work duties or 7 observations pertinent to the issues in the case. Another term for their opinions are "historical 8 opinions." Percipient experts are experts who, unless also designated as Rule 26 experts, are 9 limited to testifying to their historical opinions and the reasons for them. That is, they may be 10 asked to testify about their opinions given in the past and the whys and wherefores concerning the 11 development of those opinions. However, they may not be asked to render a current opinion for 12 the purposes of the litigation.

Rule 26 experts, who may be percipient experts as well, shall be specifically designated
by a party to be a testifying expert for the purposes of the litigation. The Rule 26 expert may
express opinions formed for the purposes of the litigation. A party designating a Rule 26 expert
will be assumed to have acquired the express permission of the witness to be so listed.

17 The parties shall comply with the information disclosure provisions of Federal Rule of 18 Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) for any expert, who is in whole or in part designated as a Rule 26 expert. 19 This information is due at the time of designation. Failure to supply the required information may 20 result in the Rule 26 expert being stricken. All Rule 26 experts are to be fully prepared to render 21 an informed opinion at the time of designation so that they may fully participate in any deposition 22 taken by the opposing party. Rule 26 experts will not be permitted to testify at trial as to any 23 information gathered or evaluated, or opinion formed, which should have been reasonably 24 available at the time of designation. The court will closely scrutinize for discovery abuse 25 deposition opinions which differ markedly in nature and/or in bases from those expressed in the 26 mandatory information disclosure.

27

1

2

3

JOINT MID-LITIGATION STATEMENTS

28

Not later than fourteen (14) days prior to the close of discovery, the parties shall file with

the court a brief joint statement summarizing all law and motion practice heard by the court as of the date of the filing of the statement, whether the court has disposed of the motion at the time the statement is filed and served, and the likelihood that any further motions will be noticed prior to the close of law and motion. The filing of this statement shall not relieve the parties or counsel of their obligation to timely notice all appropriate motions as set forth herein.

6

MOTION HEARING SCHEDULES

All law and motion, except as to discovery, shall be completed by March 20, 2024. The
word "completed" in this context means that all law and motion matters must be heard by the
above date. Counsel and pro se parties (collectively, "counsel"), are cautioned to refer to the
Local Rules regarding the requirements for noticing such motions on the court's regularly
scheduled law and motion calendar. Available hearing dates may be obtained by calling Jonathan
Anderson, the Courtroom Deputy, at (916) 930-4199.

Local Rule 230 governs the calendaring and procedures of civil motions with the
following additions:

15

(a) The opposition and reply must be filed by 4:30 p.m. on the day due; and

(b) When the last day for filing an opposition or reply brief falls on a legal holiday, the
opposition or reply brief shall be filed on the last court day immediately preceding the legal
holiday. Failure to comply with Local Rule 230(c), as modified by this order, may be deemed
consent to the motion and the court may dispose of the motion summarily. <u>Brydges v. Lewis</u>, 18
F.3d 651, 652-53 (9th Cir. 1994) (per curiam).

21 All purely legal issues are to be resolved by timely pretrial motion. The parties should 22 keep in mind that the purpose of law and motion is to narrow and refine the legal issues raised by 23 the case, and to dispose of by pretrial motion those issues that are susceptible to resolution 24 without trial. To accomplish that purpose, the parties need to identify and fully research the 25 issues presented by the case, and then examine those issues in light of the evidence gleaned 26 through discovery. If it appears to counsel after examining the legal issues and facts that an issue 27 can be resolved by pretrial motion, counsel are to file the appropriate motion by the law and 28 motion cutoff set forth above. The parties are cautioned that failure to raise a dispositive legal

issue that could have been tendered to the court by proper pretrial motion prior to the dispositive
 motion cut-off date may constitute waiver of such issue.

Counsel are reminded that motions in limine are procedural devices designed to address
the admissibility of evidence. Counsel are cautioned that the court will look with disfavor upon
substantive motions presented in the guise of motions in limine at the time of trial.

6

FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

The final pretrial conference is set before District Judge Troy L. Nunley on April 18, 2024 at 2:00 p.m., in Courtroom No. 2. In each instance an attorney who will try the case for a given party shall attend the final pretrial conference on behalf of that party; provided, however, that if by reason of illness or other unavoidable circumstance the trial attorney is unable to attend, the attorney who attends in place of the trial attorney shall have equal familiarity with the case and equal authorization to make commitments on behalf of the client. All pro se parties must attend the pre-trial conference.

Counsel for all parties and all pro se parties are to be fully prepared for trial at the time of the Final Pretrial Conference, with no matters remaining to be accomplished except production of witnesses for oral testimony. The parties shall file a joint pretrial conference statement not later than April 11, 2024, at 4:30 p.m.

18 At the time of filing the Joint Pretrial Statement, counsel are requested to e-mail the Joint
19 Pretrial Statement and any attachments in Word format to Judge Nunley's assistant, Deanna
20 Morrison at: dmorrison@caed.uscourts.gov.

Where the parties are unable to agree as to what legal or factual issues are properly before the court for trial, they should nevertheless list all issues asserted by any of the parties and indicate by appropriate footnotes the disputes concerning such issues. The provisions of Local Rule 281 shall, however, apply with respect to the matters to be included in the joint pre-trial statement. Failure to comply with Local Rule 281, as modified herein, may be grounds for sanctions.

The parties are reminded that pursuant to Local Rule 281(b)(10) and (11) they are
required to list in the final pre-trial statement all witnesses and exhibits they propose to offer at

trial, no matter for what purpose. These lists shall not be contained in the body of the final pretrial statement itself, but shall be attached as separate documents so that the court may attach them as an addendum to the final pre-trial order. The final pre-trial order will contain a stringent standard for the offering at trial of witnesses and exhibits not listed in the final pre-trial order, and the parties are cautioned that the standard will be strictly applied. On the other hand, the listing of exhibits or witnesses that a party does not intend to offer will be viewed as an abuse of the court's processes.

8 The parties are also reminded that pursuant to Rule 16, Fed. R. Civ. P., it will be their duty 9 at the final pre-trial conference to aid the court in: (a) formulation and simplification of issues and 10 the elimination of frivolous claims or defenses; (b) settling of facts which should properly be 11 admitted; and (c) the avoidance of unnecessary proof and cumulative evidence. Counsel must 12 cooperatively prepare the joint pre-trial statement and participate in good faith at the final pre-13 trial conference with these aims in mind. A failure to do so may result in the imposition of 14 sanctions which may include monetary sanctions, orders precluding proof, elimination of claims 15 or defenses, or such other sanctions as the court deems appropriate.

16 **TRIAL SETTING**

A jury trial is set to commence before District Judge Troy L. Nunley on June 3, 2024 at
9:00 a.m., in Courtroom No. 2. The trial is anticipated to take 5 days.

19 THE COURT SUMMARIZES THE SCHEDULING ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

20

1. The Findings and Recommendations at ECF No. 37 are WITHDRAWN.

2. All discovery shall be completed by January 19, 2024. Motions to compel must be
heard not later than December 27, 2023.

3. Initial expert disclosures shall be made on or before December 23, 2023; rebuttal
expert disclosures shall be made on or before January 23, 2024.

4. All law and motion, except as to discovery, shall be completed as described herein
on or before March 20, 2024.

5. The final pretrial conference is set before District Judge Troy L. Nunley on April
18, 2024, at 2:00 p.m., in Courtroom No. 2, 15th Floor. Pretrial statements shall be filed in

1	accordance with Local Rules 281 and 282, and the requirements set forth herein.	
2	6. A jury trial is set to commence before District Judge Troy L. Nunley on June 3,	
3	2024, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom No. 2, 15th Floor.	
4	7. Failure to comply with the terms of this order may result in the imposition of	
5	monetary and all other sanctions within the power of the court, including dismissal or an order of	
6	judgment.	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	DATED: July 18, 2023 augus Clane	
9	ALLISON CLAIRE	
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	7	