1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JUAN GUZMAN,	No. 2:22-cv-00799 CKD
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL	
15	SECURITY,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	Based on 42 U.S.C. § 406(b), counsel for plaintiff in the above-entitled action seeks an	
19	award of attorney fees in the amount of \$24,417.75 for 77.45 hours of professional time devoted	
20	to the representation of plaintiff before this court in two separate actions. ¹ ECF No. 33. Counsel	
21	concedes that this amount should be offset in the amount of \$16,383.93 for fees previously	
22	awarded under EAJA in both cases. Defendant has filed a response. ECF No. 34.	
23	42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A) provides, in relevant part:	
24	Whenever a court renders a judgment favorable to a claimant under	
25	this subchapter who was represented before the court by an attorney, the court may determine and allow as part of its judgment a	
26	reasonable fee for such represent	ntation, not in excess of 25 percent of
27	¹ See also Guzman v. Comm'r, 2:20-cv-00468 KJN (E.D. Cal.). Judgment was entered for	
28	plaintiff on February 3, 2021 pursuant to a stip in EAJA fees. ECF Nos. 20, 21 & 27.	oulated remand, and plaintiff was granted \$8,383.93
		1

1	the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment.	
2 3	Rather than being paid by the government, fees under the Social Security Act are awarded out of	
4	the claimant's disability benefits. <u>Russell v. Sullivan</u> , 930 F.2d 1443, 1446 (9th Cir. 1991),	
5	receded from on other grounds, Sorenson v. Mink, 239 F.3d 1140, 1149 (9th Cir. 2001).	
6	However, the 25 percent statutory maximum fee is not an automatic entitlement; the court also	
7	must ensure that the requested fee is reasonable. Gisbrecht v. Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789, 808-09	
8	(2002) ("We hold that § 406(b) does not displace contingent-fee agreements within the statutory	
9	ceiling; instead, § 406(b) instructs courts to review for reasonableness fees yielded by those	
10	agreements."). "Within the 25 percent boundary the attorney for the successful claimant must	
11	show that the fee sought is reasonable for the services rendered." Id. at 807.	
12	Counsel seeks fees for 77.45 hours. The Court has considered the character of counsel's	
13	representation and the good results achieved by counsel, which included an award of benefits.	
14	Counsel submitted a detailed billing statement that supports his request. ECF No. 33-3. There is	
15	no indication that a reduction of the award is warranted due to any substandard performance by	
16	plaintiff's counsel, as counsel secured a successful result. There is also no evidence that	
17	plaintiff's counsel engaged in any dilatory conduct resulting in delay.	
18	Based on the quality of counsel's representation and the results achieved in this case, the	
19	undersigned finds the number of hours expended to be reasonable. Accordingly, the undersigned	
20	will award the amount of attorney fees requested.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
22	1. Plaintiff's motion for attorney fees (ECF NO. 33) is GRANTED; and	
23	2. Plaintiff's counsel is awarded \$24,417.75 in attorney fees pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
24	406, to be offset in the amount of \$16,383.93 previously awarded under EAJA.	
25	Dated: September 24, 2024 Carop U. Delany	
26	CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
27	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
28	2	
	2	