

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSE J. NUNEZ,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY JAIL,  
  
Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-00885-EFB (PC)

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff, a county jail inmate, proceeds without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

On November 2, 2022, the court screened plaintiff’s complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. ECF No. 7. The court dismissed the complaint, explained the deficiencies therein, and granted plaintiff thirty days in which to file an amended complaint to cure the deficiencies. *Id.* The screening order warned plaintiff that failure to comply would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The time for acting has now passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court’s order.<sup>1</sup> Thus, it appears that plaintiff is unable or unwilling to cure the defects in the amended complaint.


<sup>1</sup> Although it appears from the file that plaintiff’s copy of the order was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff’s responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective

1           Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court randomly assign a United States  
2 District Judge to this action.

3           Further, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for the  
4 reasons set forth in the November 2, 2022 screening order (ECF No. 7).

5           These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge  
6 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days  
7 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written  
8 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
9 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the  
10 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The  
11 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to  
12 appeal the District Court’s order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez*  
13 *v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

14  
15 Dated: December 8, 2022.

  
EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28