| 1  |   |                           |
|----|---|---------------------------|
| 2  |   |                           |
| 3  |   |                           |
| 4  |   |                           |
| 5  |   |                           |
| 6  |   |                           |
| 7  |   |                           |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |                           |
| 9  | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |                           |
| 10 |   |                           |
| 11 | DEMOND CHARLES BRACKETT,  | No. 2:22-cv-00886-TLN-EFB |
| 12 | Plaintiff,  |                           |
| 13 | v.  | ORDER                     |
| 14 | MERRICK GARLAND, et al.,  |                           |
| 15 | Defendants.   |                           |
| 16 |   |                           |
| 17 | Plaintiff, a county jail inmate proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking   |                           |
| 18 | relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge  |                           |
| 19 | pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.  |                           |
| 20 | On July 6, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which   |                           |
| 21 | were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the findings  |                           |
| 22 | and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 8.) Plaintiff has not filed   |                           |
| 23 | objections to the findings and recommendations. <sup>1</sup>  |                           |
| 24 | The Court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be  |                           |
| 25 | supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.   |                           |
| 26 | <sup>1</sup> Error! Main Document Only. Although it appears from the file that Plaintiff's copy of  |                           |
| 27 | the findings and recommendations may have been returned, Plaintiff was properly served. It is<br>Plaintiff's responsibility to keep the Court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to |                           |
| 28 | Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the  |                           |
|    |   |                           |

| 1      | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:   |  |
|--------|---|--|
| 2      | 1. The Findings and Recommendations filed July 6, 2022 (ECF No. 8) are ADOPTED in |  |
| 3      | full;   |  |
| 4      | 2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice.                                    |  |
| 5<br>6 | DATED: September 21, 2022   |  |
| 7      | Troy L. Nunley  |  |
| 8      | United States District Judge  |  |
| 9      |   |  |
| 10     |   |  |
| 11     |   |  |
| 12     |   |  |
| 13     |   |  |
| 14     |   |  |
| 15     |   |  |
| 16     |   |  |
| 17     |   |  |
| 18     |   |  |
| 19     |   |  |
| 20     |   |  |
| 21     |   |  |
| 22     |   |  |
| 23     |   |  |
| 24     |   |  |
| 25     |   |  |
| 26     |   |  |
| 27     |   |  |
| 28     |   |  |
|        | 2   |  |