1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CYMEYON V. HILL, No. 2:22-cv-0970-KJM-EFB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, 13 **FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS** v. 14 M. TORRES, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a civil detainee proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 pursuant to a complaint signed on April 28, 2022. ECF No. 1. He has filed an in 18 19 forma pauperis application in which he states that he has no cash. ECF No. 12 at 3. However, his 20 inmate trust account statement, which was recently filed in three separate lawsuits initiated by 21 plaintiff, reflects that approximately one month prior to signing the instant complaint, plaintiff had a balance of \$3,818.37. See Hill v. Lynch, No. 2:22-cv-0342-JDP (E.D. Cal.), ECF No. 9; 22 23 Hill v. Aggarwal, No. 2:22-cv-0571-WBS-DMC (E.D. Cal.), ECF No. 7; see also Hill v. Hlaing, 24 No. 2:22-cv-0357-KJM-DMC (E.D. Cal.), ECF No. 8. 25 The court may authorize the commencement of an action "without prepayment of fees or 26 security therefor" by an individual who submits an application evidencing an inability to pay such 27 ¹ A court may take judicial notice of court records. See MGIC Indem. Co. v. Weisman, 28

⁸⁰³ F.2d 500, 505 (9th Cir. 1986); United States v. Wilson, 631 F.2d 118, 119 (9th Cir. 1980).

fees or give security therefor. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Plaintiff's claim of inability to pay the filing fee in his application before the court is contradicted by his inmate trust account statement. It will therefore be recommended that the application to proceed in forma pauperis be denied and that plaintiff be required to pay the \$402.00 before this action can proceed.

Accordingly, IT IS RECOMMENDED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, ECF No. 12, be DENIED; and
- 2. Plaintiff be ordered to pay the \$402 filing fee within fourteen days from the date of any order adopting these findings and recommendations and be warned that failure to do so will result in the dismissal of this action.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: August 19, 2022.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE