	Case 2:22-cv-01084-KJM-EFB Documer	nt 14 Filed 01/17/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAMON EDDIE MENDEZ,	No. 2:22-cv-01084-KJM-EFB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	A. MORALES, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On November 30, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which	
21	were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings	
22	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to	
23	the findings and recommendations. <sup>1</sup>	
24	/////	
25	/////	
26	<sup>1</sup> Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.	
27		
28		
		1

## Case 2:22-cv-01084-KJM-EFB Document 14 Filed 01/17/23 Page 2 of 2

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . . ."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed November 30, 2022, are adopted in full;
- 2. Plaintiff's claims against defendant Matthews are dismissed without prejudice; and
- 3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial proceedings.

DATED: January 17, 2023.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE