1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ROBERT EUGENE ROJAS, No. 2:22-cv-01105 KJM AC P 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, 15 Defendant. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided 18 19 by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On October 11, 2023, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which 21 were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings 22 and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. ECF No. 12. Plaintiff has not filed 23 objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 25 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed 26 de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law 27 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court ///// 28 1

...."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 11, 2023 (ECF No. 12), are adopted in full.
 - 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See L.R. 183(b).
 - 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

DATED: December 20, 2023.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE