

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MACK LUCAS,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
CALIFORNIA,  
Respondent.

No. 2: 22-cv-1175 TLN KJN P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS


By an order filed August 18, 2022, petitioner was granted a thirty days extension of time to file an in forma pauperis affidavit or to pay the appropriate filing fee. The thirty day period has expired, and petitioner has not responded to the court’s order, has not filed an in forma pauperis affidavit, and has not paid the appropriate filing fee.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the

1 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951  
2 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

3 Dated: September 28, 2022

4   
5 

---

KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Luc1175.fifp