

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SACRAMENTO DIVISION

UBALDO MIO GUTIERREZ,

v.

P. REYERSBACH, ET AL.

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

2:22-cv-01492-TLN-JDP

[Proposed] ORDER re: Def.'s Mot. to Opt Out of the Post-Screening ADR

Plaintiff Guterrez, an inmate proceeding pro se and under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, claims that Defendant Pantoja violated his constitutional rights for an incident that allegedly occurred at California State Prison – Folsom.


On November 27, 2023, the Court issued an order referring the case to its Post-Screening ADR Project and staying the action for a period of 120 days. (ECF No. 23.) After investigating Plaintiff’s claims, Defendant moved to opt out of the Post-Screening ADR Project on grounds that a settlement conference would not be beneficial at this time.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Good cause appearing, the Court hereby GRANTS Defendant's Motion to Opt Out of the Post-Screening ADR Project and LIFTS the stay initiated on November 27, 2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 6, 2024



JEREMY D. PETERSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE