UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONDELL CHRISTOPHER BULLARD, Plaintiff, v. INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, Defendant.	No. 2:22-cv-1535 DAD DB PS FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff Rondell Christopher Bullard is proceeding in this action pro se. This matter was referred to the undersigned in accordance with Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). By order signed November 1, 2022, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed, and plaintiff was granted leave to file an amended complaint that cured the defects noted in that order. (ECF No. 3.) Plaintiff was granted twenty-eight days from the date of that order to file the amended complaint and was specifically cautioned that the failure to respond to the court's order in a timely manner could result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. (Id. at 6.) The twenty-eight-day period has expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order in any manner.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days

after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. A document containing objections should be titled "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may, under certain circumstances, waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: April 5, 2023

DEBORAH BARNES
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DLB:6
DB\orders\orders.pro se\bullard1535.fta.f&rs