

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 CHRISTOPHER P. WATSON,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
15 OFFICE, et al.,

16 Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-1681 KJN P

ORDER AND FINDINGS &  
RECOMMENDATIONS

17 By order filed October 21, 2022, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave  
18 to file an amended complaint was granted. Thirty days from that date have now passed, and  
19 plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's order.  
20


21 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is  
22 directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

23 IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule  
24 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

25 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge  
26 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days  
27 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections  
28 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned

1 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that  
2 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District  
3 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 Dated: November 28, 2022

5   
6 KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 /wats1681.fta