

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY D. EDWARDS,
Plaintiff,
v.
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-01854-TLN-SCR

ORDER

Plaintiff Anthony D. Edwards (“Plaintiff”), a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On October 16, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 31.) Plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (ECF No. 38.)

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Findings and Recommendations (ECF No. 31) are ADOPTED IN FULL; and

2. The Fourth Amended Complaint is DISMISSED without leave to amend for Plaintiff's failure to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. §1915A(b)(1); and

3. The Clerk of Court shall close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: January 24, 2025



TROY L. NUNLEY
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE