

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONDELL DONTA BULLARD,

Plaintiff,

v.

SACRAMENTO POLICE
DEPARTMENT,

Defendant.

No. 2:22-cv-1914 KJN P

ORDER & FINDINGS &
RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed November 30, 2022, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty-day period has expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff’s copy of the order was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff’s responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.


In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

///
///

1 IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule
2 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

3 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
4 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen after
5 being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with
6 the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and
7 Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time
8 waives the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir.
9 1991).

10 Dated: January 4, 2023

11 
12 _____
KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13 /bull1914.fta