

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN CANNEDY,
Plaintiff,
v.
AMADOR COUNTY SHERIFF
DEPARTMENT, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-02001-TLN-AC

ORDER

Plaintiff, a county prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On March 27, 2023, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations in this case which were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 13.) Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

Although it appears from the file that Plaintiff’s copy of the findings and recommendations was returned, Plaintiff was properly served. It is Plaintiff’s responsibility to keep the Court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.


///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The Court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge’s analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed March 27, 2023 (ECF No. 13), are ADOPTED IN FULL.
2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See* L.R. 183(b).
3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

DATE: May 10, 2023



Troy L. Nunley
United States District Judge