Dated: May 6, 2024

Dated: May 7, 2024

Astrue v. Ratliff, 560 U.S. 586, 598 (2010), the ability to honor the assignment will depend on whether the fees are subject to any offset allowed under the United States Department of the Treasury's Offset Program. After the order for EAJA fees is entered, the government will determine whether they are subject to any offset.

Fees shall be made payable to Plaintiff, but if the Department of the Treasury determines that Plaintiff does not owe a federal debt, then the government shall cause the payment of fees to be made directly to Counsel, pursuant to the assignment executed by Plaintiff. Any payments made shall be delivered to Counsel.

This stipulation constitutes a compromise settlement of Plaintiff's request for EAJA attorney fees, and does not constitute an admission of liability on the part of Defendant under the EAJA or otherwise. Payment of the agreed amount shall constitute a complete release from, and bar to, any and all claims that Plaintiff and/or Counsel, including Counsel's law firm and associates, may have relating to EAJA attorney fees in connection with this action.

This award is without prejudice to the rights of Counsel to seek Social Security Act attorney fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b), subject to the savings clause provisions of the EAJA.

Respectfully submitted,

WEEMS LAW OFFICES

By: /s/ Robert C. Weems\*

ROBERT C. WEEMS

Attorneys for Plaintiff

[\*As authorized by e-mail on May 6, 2024]

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney

By: /s/ Margaret Branick-Abilla
MARGARET BRANICK-ABILLA
Special Assistant United States Attorney
Attorneys for Defendant

## **ORDER**

Pursuant to stipulation,

- 1. The stipulation at ECF No. 32 is GRANTED; and
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for EAJA fees (ECF No. 29) is DENIED as moot.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 7, 2024

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Carop U. Delam