| 1  |   |  |
|----|---|--|
| 2  |   |  |
| 3  |   |  |
| 4  |   |  |
| 5  |   |  |
| 6  |   |  |
| 7  |   |  |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |  |
| 9  | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |  |
| 10 |   |  |
| 11 | CHARLES TRAYZON GILBERT,  | No. 2:22-cv-2160 DB P                  |
| 12 | Plaintiff,  |  |
| 13 | V.  | ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 14 | W. PEREZ, et al.,   |  |
| 15 | Defendants.   |  |
| 16 |   |  |
| 17 | Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to 42             |  |
| 18 | U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff claims that he was falsely found guilty of a rules violation in retaliation  |  |
| 19 | for exercising his first amendment rights.  |  |
| 20 | By order dated March 29, 2023, the court screened and dismissed the complaint for failure             |  |
| 21 | to state a claim. (ECF No. 4.) Plaintiff was granted thirty days leave to file an amended             |  |
| 22 | complaint and advised that failure to file an amended complaint would result in a                     |  |
| 23 | recommendation that this action be dismissed. ( <u>Id.</u> at 12.) Those thirty days have passed, and |  |
| 24 | plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, sought additional time to file an amended               |  |
| 25 | complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's order. Accordingly, the undersigned will             |  |
| 26 | recommend that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court     |  |
| 27 | orders.   |  |
| 28 | ////  |  |

For the foregoing reasons, the Clerk of the Court is ORDERED to randomly assign this action to a United States District Judge. IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: June 2, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DB:12 DB/DB Prisoner Inbox/Civil Rights/R/gilb2160.fta.f&r