1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	YEVGENIY N. SOROKIN,	No. 2:22-cv-02178-KJM-EFB (HC)
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	THE PEOPLE,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a pretrial detainee proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of	
18	habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
19	Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On June 1, 2023, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were	
21	served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the findings	
22	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner has not filed objections to	
23	the findings and recommendations.	
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,	
25	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed	
26	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law	
27	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court	
28	/////	
		1

1	\ldots ."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be	
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed June 1, 2023 are adopted in full;	
5	2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is dismissed;	
6	3. The Clerk is directed to close the case; and	
7	4. The court declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	
8	DATED: July 24, 2023.	
9 10	nAmela	
11	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	