1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 QUINTON JOEY WATTS, No. 2:22-cv-2234-KJM-KJN P 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. **ORDER** 14 JEFFREY A. THOMPSON, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided 18 19 by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On April 24, 2023, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were 21 served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and 22 recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. On May 11, 2013, plaintiff was re-23 served at his most current address. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 25 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 26 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed 27 de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law 28 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court 1

1	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed April 24, 2023, are adopted in full;
5	2. Defendants Thompson and Poyner are dismissed with prejudice;
6	3. Plaintiff's habeas claims are dismissed without prejudice; and
7	4. This action is dismissed without prejudice.
8	DATED: July 21, 2023.
9 10	MAnuel.
11	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	