1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHRIS VRAME and DEMETRA E.	No. 2:22-mc-00104-MCE-JDP
12	VRAME,	
13	Petitioners,	<u>ORDER</u>
14	v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
15	Respondent.	
16	Kespondent.	
17		
18	On December 12, 2023, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
19	which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings	
20	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.	
21	This Court reviews de novo those portions of the proposed findings of fact to which	
22	objection has been made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore	
23	Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982). As	
24	to any portion of the proposed findings of fact to which no objection has been made, the Court	
25	assumes its correctness and decides the motions on the applicable law. See Orand v. United	
26	States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are	
27	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).	
28	///	

1	The Court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,	
2	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:	
4	1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed December 12, 2023, ECF No. 13,	
5	are ADOPTED in full;	
6	2. Respondent's motion to dismiss, ECF No. 9, is GRANTED.	
7	3. Petitioners' petition to quash, ECF No. 1, is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction.	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated: February 5, 2024	
10	Molan (16x).	
11	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR) SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		
28		
40	1	