1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CLEOTHA EDWARDS, Case No. 2:23-cv-00071-DJC-JDP (PC) 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 ROSENLOP, 15 Defendant. 16 17 On April 7, 2023, I screened plaintiff's complaint and notified him that his claim was not cognizable. ECF No. 8. I granted him thirty days to file an amended complaint or advisement 18 19 indicating his intent to stand by his current complaint, subject to a recommendation that it be 20 dismissed. Plaintiff did not respond. Accordingly, on June 20, 2023, I ordered him to show 21 cause within twenty-one days why this action should not be dismissed. ECF No. 9. I again 22 warned him that failure to comply with the order would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Id. at 2. 23 24 The deadline has passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded. 25 26 Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that: 27 1. This action be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court 28 orders for the reasons set forth in the June 20, 2023 order.

2. The Clerk of Court be directed to close the case.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days of service of these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response shall be served and filed within fourteen days of service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 3, 2023

JEREMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE