1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GURPREET SINGH,	No. 2:23-cv-0237 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER & FINDINGS &
14	SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF, et	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17	By order filed May 18, 2023, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to	
18	file an amended complaint was granted. Although plaintiff's first copy of the order was returned,	
19	the order was re-served on plaintiff at his new address on June 22, 2023. Thirty days from that	
20	date have passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the	
21	court's order.	
22	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is	
23	directed to assign a district judge to this case; and	
24	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
25	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
26	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
27	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
28	after being served with these findings and reco	ommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
		1

1	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings
2	and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen
3	days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
4	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
5	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
6	Dated: July 26, 2023
7	Ferdell & Newman
8	/sing0237 fta KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	/sing0237.fta UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2