1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL RAY FOWLER,	No. 2:23-cv-00248-KJM-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	MILLER, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C.	
18	§ 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by Eastern	
19	District of California local rules.	
20	On July 26, 2023, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations, which were	
21	served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file objections within the	
22	time specified therein. Timely objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed.	
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
24	court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the court finds the	
25	findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis. As the	
26	magistrate judge notes, F. & R. at 2, ECF No. 10, there is no private right of action under the	
27	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), Webb v. Smart Document Sols.,	
28	LLC, 499 F.3d 1078, 1081 (9th Cir. 2007). As	s such, plaintiff cannot state a federal claim under

1	HIPAA. See id. Plaintiff's complaint, which solely alleges violation of HIPAA, must be	
2	dismissed. "Unless it is absolutely clear that no amendment can cure the defect, a pro se	
3	litigant is entitled to notice of the complaint's deficiencies and an opportunity to amend prior to	
4	dismissal of the action." Lucas v. Dep't of Corr., 66 F.3d 245, 248 (9th Cir. 1995). Here no	
5	amendment could cure plaintiff's inability to state a federal claim under HIPAA.	
6	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
7	1. The findings and recommendations filed July 26, 2023, are adopted in full.	
8	2. This action is DISMISSED with prejudice for failure to state a claim upon	
9	which relief can be granted.	
10	3. Plaintiff's motion for equitable tolling, ECF No. 9, is DENIED as moot.	
11	4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.	
12	DATED: November 20, 2023.	
13	InA man	
14	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	