Doc. 15 (HC) Glidewell v. BOP 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 DANIEL JUSTIN GLIDEWELL, No. 2:23-cv-0390-TLN-DMC 12 Petitioner, **ORDER** 13 v. 14 BOP, 15 Respondent. 16 17 Petitioner, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of habeas 18 corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge 19 pursuant to Eastern District of California local rules. 20 On October 11, 2023, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein 21 which were served on the parties, and which contained notice that the parties may file objections 22 within the time specified therein. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been 23 filed. 24 The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 25 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed *de novo*. 26 See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed

the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by

27

28

the magistrate judge's analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 11, 2023 (ECF No. 14) are ADOPTED IN FULL;
- 2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice;
- 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

Date: December 4, 2023

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge