

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAHMARI BUTLER,
Plaintiff,
v.
D. BAKER , *et al.*,
Defendants.

Case No. 2: 23-cv-00565-JDP (PC)

ORDER; FINDINGS &
RECOMMENDATIONS

A recent court order served on plaintiff's address of record was returned by the postal service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which requires that a party appearing *in propria persona* inform the court of any address change. More than sixty-three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service, and plaintiff has failed to notify the court of a current address.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and


IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See* Local Rule 183(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

1 with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings
2 and Recommendations.” Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen
3 days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
4 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d
5 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8
9 Dated: July 5, 2023



JEREMY D. PETERSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28