

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MIKEL PLETT,
Plaintiff,
v.
RELIGIOUS COMMITTEE, *et al.*,
Defendants.

No. 2:23-cv-00683-DJC-JDP (PC)

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On September 15, 2023, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The Magistrate Judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See *Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the

1 district court and [the appellate] court . . ."). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds
2 the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper
3 analysis.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations filed September 15, 2023, are adopted
6 in full;
- 7 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute and failure
8 to comply with court orders for the reasons set forth in the August 8, 2023 order; and
- 9 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case.

10
11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: November 17, 2023


13 Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28