

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEPHANIE LOPEZ,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
SOLANO COUNTY JUSTICE CENTER,  
et al.,  
  
Defendant.

No. 2:23-cv-01023-DAD-CKD (PC)  
  
ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING  
ACTION DUE TO PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE  
TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE TO  
COMPLY WITH COURT ORDERS  
  
(Doc. No. 9)

Plaintiff Stephanie Lopez is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On October 13, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action and failure to comply with court orders. (Doc. No. 9.) In particular, on August 22, 2023, the court screened plaintiff's complaint, determined that plaintiff had failed to state a cognizable claim for relief, and directed plaintiff to file an amended complaint within

/////  
/////  
/////

1 thirty days. (Doc. No. 6.) Plaintiff did not comply with, or otherwise respond to, the court's  
2 August 22, 2023 order.<sup>1</sup>

3 The pending findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice  
4 that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service.<sup>2</sup> (Doc. No. 9  
5 at 2.) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time  
6 in which to do so has long since passed.


7 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a  
8 *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the  
9 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

10 Accordingly:

- 11 1. The findings and recommendations issued on October 13, 2023 (Doc. No. 9) are  
12 adopted in full;
- 13 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute  
14 this action and failure to comply with court orders; and
- 15 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: December 7, 2023

18   
19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 DALE A. DROZD  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

22  
23  
24 \_\_\_\_\_  
25 <sup>1</sup> The service copy of the order dated August 22, 2023 was returned to the court and marked as  
26 "Undeliverable." Thus, plaintiff was required to file a notice of her change of address with the  
27 court no later than November 30, 2023. To date, plaintiff has not filed a notice of her change of  
28 address or otherwise communicated with the court.

<sup>2</sup> To date, the service copy of the pending findings and recommendations dated October 13, 2023  
has not been returned to the court marked as undeliverable.