1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MIKE MORGAN, No. 2:23-cv-01309-DAD-EFB (PC) 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 JEFFREY MACOMBER, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner, proceeds without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. 18 § 1983. On August 28, 2023, the court screened plaintiff's amended complaint pursuant to 28 19 U.S.C. § 1915A and dismissed it with leave to amend. ECF No. 9. The court explained the 20 deficiencies therein and granted plaintiff thirty days in which to file an amended complaint to 21 cure the deficiencies. *Id.* The screening order warned plaintiff that failure to comply would 22 result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The time for acting has now passed and 23 plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. Thus, it 24 appears that plaintiff is unable or unwilling to cure the defects in the complaint. 25 Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice 26 for the reasons set forth in the August 28, 2023 screening order (ECF No. 8). 27 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 28 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 1

after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: November 28, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE