ľ

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DARIUS DE'MON LAKE,	No. 2:23-cv-1311 DJC AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	PATRICK COVELLO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. On June 11, 2024, defendants filed a motion for judgment on the pleadings. ECF	
19	No. 35. After failing to respond, plaintiff was ordered to file an opposition or a statement of non-	
20	opposition to the pending motion within twenty-one days. ECF No. 39. In the same order,	
21	plaintiff was informed that failure to file an opposition would result in a recommendation that this	
22	action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil	
23	Procedure 41(b). Id. The twenty-one-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed a	
24	response to the motion for judgment on the pleadings or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
25	In recommending this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute, the court has	
26	considered "(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to	
27	manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to	the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring
28	disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the	e availability of less drastic alternatives." <u>Ferdik v.</u>
		l

1	Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (citation omitted). Because this case cannot
2	move forward without plaintiff's participation, the court finds the factors weigh in favor of
3	dismissal.

For the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be
dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9Dth Cir. 1991).

DATED: August 27, 2024

auson Clane

ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE