

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ISHMAEL MOUHAB,

Plaintiff,

v.

JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:23-cv-01431-TLN-JDP

Hon. District Judge Troy L. Nunley
Hon. Magistrate Judge Jeremy D. Peterson

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT JPMORGAN CHASE BANK,
N.A.’S EX PARTE MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO
COMPLAINT**

Current Response Date: November 2, 2023

New Response Date: November 17, 2023

Action Filed: July 14, 2023

The Court, having considered *defendant* JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.’s (“Chase”) *Ex Parte Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Complaint* (the “Motion”) and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion is **GRANTED**.

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Chase shall have until November 17, 2023, to file and
2 serve its response to *plaintiff* Ismael Mouhab’s Complaint in this action.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: November 14, 2023



JEREMY D. PETERSON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28