1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSE JESUS AVALOS-AVILES,	No. 2:22-cv-01257-DAD-DB
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER RELATING AND REASSIGNING
14	RED ROBIN INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	CASE
15	Defendant.	
16	NICHOLAS J. MCINERNY,	No. 2:23-cv-01548-MCE-JDP
17	Plaintiff,	New Case No. 2:23-cv-01548-DAD-DB
18	V.	
19	RED ROBIN INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	
20	Defendant.	
21		
22	An examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the	
23	meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Accordingly, assignment of the above-captioned actions to the	
24	same district judge and magistrate judge will promote substantial efficiency and economy for the	
25	court and is likely to be convenient for the parties.	
26		
27	/////	
28	/////	
		1

An order relating cases under this court's Local Rule 123 merely assigns them to the same district judge and magistrate judge—it does not consolidate the cases.<sup>1</sup> The local rules of this district authorize the judge with the lowest numbered case to order the reassignment of any higher numbered cases to himself or herself, upon determining that this assignment is likely to effect a savings of judicial effort. L.R. 123(c). Such good cause appearing here, the court orders that Case No. 2:23-cv-01548-MCE-JDP is reassigned to the undersigned and Magistrate Judge Deborah Barnes. The caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as: 2:23-cv-01548-DAD-DB. It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **February 5, 2024** UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The unopposed motion to consolidate cases filed by defendant in this action (Doc. No. 23) remains pending and will be addressed by the court as soon as practicable.