

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TAYLOR A. BEESON,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
WARDEN, F.C.I. HERLONG,  
Defendants.

No. 2:23-cv-1621 DJC CKD P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On July 19, 2024, the court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on defendant Birtwell. That thirty-day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court’s order.

Although it appears from the docket that plaintiff’s copy of the July 19, 2024, order was returned by the U.S. Postal Service, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff’s responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

1 with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Findings and  
2 Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time  
3 may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th  
4 Cir. 1991).

5 Dated: August 29, 2024

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 1  
11 bees1621.fusm

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28