(SS) Skidge v. Commissioner of Social Security

Doc. 14

27

28

The parties stipulate through counsel that Defendant, the Commissioner of Social Security (the "Commissioner"), shall have an extension of 30 days to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment in this case. In support of this request, the Commissioner respectfully states as follows:

- 1. The Commissioner's response to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is due March 29, 2024. Defendant has not previously received an extension of this deadline.
- 2. Plaintiff has raised an argument regarding the longstanding principle of Chevron deference based on a pair of cases pending before the United States Supreme Court. Because Chevron deference is fundamental to the Social Security disability framework, Counsel for the Commissioner is in the process of consulting with management and senior attorneys within the agency regarding the defensibility of this issue, as well as any response as appropriate.
- Counsel for the Commissioner has consulted with Plaintiff's counsel who advised that he 3. has no objections.
- 4. This request is made in good faith and is not intended to unnecessarily delay the proceedings in this matter.

WHEREFORE, Defendant requests until April 28, 2024, to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.

Date: March 28, 2024 PRATO & REICHMAN, APC

> By: /s/ Caspar Chan for Justin Prato* JUSTIN PRATO

*Authorized by email on March 28, 2024 Attorneys for Plaintiff

//// //// //// //// //// //// //// //// //// //// ///

////

Date: March 28, 2024 PHILIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney Eastern District of California By: /s/ Caspar Chan CASPAR CHAN Special Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for Defendant **ORDER** APPROVED AND SO ORDERED. Dated: March 28, 2024 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE