28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 SAMANTHA WILLIAMS, No. 2:23-cv-02585-TLN-DB 12 Plaintiff, 13 NON-RELATED CASE ORDER v. 14 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., 15 Defendant. 16 17 CHRISTINA YANEZ-DAVISON, No. 2:23-cv-03016-MCE-AC 18 Plaintiff, 19 v. 20 UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., et al. 21 Defendants. 22 23 The undersigned judge declines to relate the above-captioned cases pursuant to Local Rule 24 123(a). Assignment of the matters to the same judge is not likely to effect a substantial savings of 25 26 judicial effort or other economies. These actions involve the same or similar defendants, but the 27 actions involve events specific to each party, the questions of fact are different, the questions of

1

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	ĺ

law are not novel, it is not clear that the same result should follow in these actions, and assignment to different judges would not entail substantial duplication of labor.

Therefore, 2:23-cv-03016-MCE-AC shall not be reassigned to the undersigned judge. IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 25, 2024

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge