1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. 2:24-cv-00287 CKD UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 12 Plaintiff. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 MATTHEW H. PETERS, et al., 15 Defendant. 16 17 Pending before the Court is Defendants' Matthew Peters; JMSP, LLC; Paragon Partners, 18 LLC; Innovative Specialty Services, LLC; Cardea Consulting, LLC; Coastline Specialty Services, 19 LLC; Portland Professional Pharmacy, LLC; Sunrise Pharmacy, LLC; Professional 205 20 Pharmacy, LLC; Lake Forest Pharmacy, LLC; Bayview Specialty Services, LLC; Praxis 21 Marketing Services, LLC; Prestige Professional Pharmacy, LLC; Optimum Care Pharmacy, Inc.; 22 and Glendale Pharmacy, LLC ("Defendants") motion for reconsideration of the Court's May 8, 23 2024 order striking Defendants' motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 24.) 24 On May 2, 2024, Defendants' counsel James Bell filed an ex parte pro hac vice 25 application before this Court. (ECF No. 15.) Mr. Bell's pro hac vice application was rejected by 26 the Clerk's Office for failure to pay the application fee. (Id.) On May 2, 2024, a notice by the 27 Clerk's Office was sent to Mr. Bell notifying him of the reasons his pro hac vice application was 28 rejected with instructions on how to resubmit the application to practice in this Court. Mr. Bell 1

1	did not resubmit his application, however, and has now advised the court that he is, in fact,
2	already admitted to practice in this District. <sup>1</sup> Therefore, having reviewed Defendants' pleading
3	along with the attached exhibit confirming Mr. Bell's admission to practice in this Court, (ECI
4	No. 24 at Exh. A), the Court finds good cause to vacate its May 7, 2024, order.
5	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT:
6	1. Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 24) is GRANTED;
7	2. The order issued on May 7, 2024 (ECF No. 23) is VACATED; and
8	3. Within fourteen (14) days of this order, any party who has yet to submit the
9	Consent/Decline Designation regarding the jurisdiction of the magistrate judge shall
10	do so.
11	Dated: May 9, 2024 Carop U. Delany
12	CAROLYN K. DELANEY
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14	
15	4, pete0287.24
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
41	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The court notes that all of this confusion could have been avoided had Mr. Bell simply entered his appearance rather than filing an unnecessary pro hac vice application.