1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 EDWARD LEE JONES, JR., No. 2:24-cv-0386 KJM CSK P 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.. 15 Defendants. 16 17 By order filed March 24, 2024, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed, and thirty days leave 18 19 to file an amended complaint was granted. (ECF No. 11.) Thirty days from that date have now 20 passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's 21 order. 22 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 23 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 24 25 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 26 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 27 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 28 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that 1

1	failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
2	Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	
4	Dated: May 8, 2024
5	Chi doo li
6	CHI SOO KIM UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	/1/jone0386.fta
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	