



1 No. 16. The motion is fully briefed. *See* Mem., ECF No. 16-1; Opp’n, ECF No. 18; Reply, ECF  
2 No. 20. The court took the matter under submission without hearing oral arguments.

3           Hollaway does not dispute that she electronically signed an agreement to arbitrate “[a]ny  
4 dispute arising between [herself] and the Company,” i.e., Credit Innovation. Timpson Decl. Ex. 1  
5 at 13, ECF No. 16-2 (under seal).<sup>2</sup> Because Hollaway agreed to arbitrate any disputes between  
6 herself and Credit Innovation, this court must grant Credit Innovation’s motion to compel  
7 arbitration unless the arbitration agreement is invalid or cannot be enforced. *See* 9 U.S.C. § 2  
8 (providing that agreements to arbitrate are “valid, irrevocable, and enforceable, save upon such  
9 grounds as exist at law or in equity for the revocation of any contract”); *id.* § 4 (permitting  
10 motions to compel arbitration); *id.* § 3 (requiring stays of actions referred to arbitration).

11           Hollaway argues her contract with Credit Innovation is invalid under the federal Credit  
12 Repair Organizations Act. *See* Opp’n at 3–6. Her arbitration agreement with Credit Innovation  
13 provides that arbitration will be governed by “the Commercial Rules of the American Arbitration  
14 Association.” Timpson Decl. Ex. 1 at 13. Under those rules, “[t]he arbitrator shall have the  
15 power to rule on his or her own jurisdiction, including any objections with respect to the  
16 existence, scope or validity of the arbitration agreement or to the validity of any claim or  
17 counterclaim, without any need to refer such matters first to a court.” American Arbitration  
18 Association, Commercial Rules and Mediation Procedures R-7(a) (Sept. 1, 2022).<sup>3</sup> By referring  
19 to the American Arbitration Association’s rules, the arbitration agreement “clearly and  
20 unmistakably” delegated the resolution of Hollaway’s arguments about the Credit Repair  
21 Organization Act to the arbitrator. *Brennan v. Opus Bank*, 796 F.3d 1125, 1130 (9th Cir. 2015).  
22 Hollaway has not shown her agreement to delegate the resolution of that claim was itself invalid  
23 or unenforceable. Her arguments relate to the broader contract and the requirements of the Credit  
24 Repair Organizations Act. Under binding Supreme Court authority, this court must therefore

---

<sup>2</sup> The page numbers cited here are those applied by the CM/ECF system at the top right of each page.

<sup>3</sup> [https://www.adr.org/sites/default/files/Commercial-Rules\\_Web.pdf](https://www.adr.org/sites/default/files/Commercial-Rules_Web.pdf) (visited July 15, 2024). The court takes judicial notice of these rules. *See, e.g., Fischer v. Kelly Servs. Glob., LLC*, No. 23-1197, 2024 WL 382181, at \*7 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2024) (granting request for judicial notice of American Arbitration Association rules).

1 enforce the delegation. *See CompuCredit Corp. v. Greenwood*, 565 U.S. 95, 104–05 (2012)  
2 (holding claims based on Credit Repair Organizations Act may be referred to arbitration); *Rent-A-*  
3 *Ctr., W., Inc. v. Jackson*, 561 U.S. 63, 71–72 (2010) (holding delegation agreements enforceable  
4 unless delegation agreement itself invalid or unenforceable).

5 The court **grants** the motion to compel arbitration (ECF No. 16 in Case No. 24-642). This  
6 action is **stayed** pending arbitration. The court **denies** plaintiff’s request for sanctions against  
7 defendant for revealing her social security number on the public docket. *See* Opp’n at 3 n.1.  
8 Defendant promptly corrected the issue, and there is no sign the disclosure was intentional. *See*  
9 Notice, ECF No. 19; Reply at 3 n.1.

10 A functionally identical motion to compel arbitration of claims by Michael E. Hollaway,  
11 the spouse of Michelle A. Hollaway, also is pending in the related case, No. 24-644, ECF No. 5.  
12 The court **grants** that motion for the reasons provided above and **stays** Case No. 24-644 pending  
13 arbitration.

14 The court orders the parties in both actions **to show cause within fourteen days** why  
15 these actions should not be consolidated for all purposes. The court also orders the parties in both  
16 actions to file a joint status report **within seven days of the date the arbitration is completed**.  
17 All other dates and deadlines in both cases are **vacated**.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 DATED: October 24, 2024.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE