1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 BRANDON FAVOR, No. 2:24-cv-00882-DAD-EFB (PC) 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CIVIL ATTORNEY, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By an order filed October 3, 2024, plaintiff was ordered to submit the filing fee for this 18 action or to file a completed in forma pauperis affidavit and a certified copy of his prison trust 19 account statement, and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that 20 this action be dismissed. ECF No. 5. Plaintiff was granted an additional 60 days to comply with 21 the order on November 19, 2024. ECF No. 7. The time for complying with the order has now 22 expired, and plaintiff has not paid the fee or filed the in forma pauperis application. 23 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be 24 dismissed without prejudice. 25 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 26 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 27 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 28

1	with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
2	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that
3	failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
4	Court's order. <i>Martinez v. Ylst</i> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
5	Court's order. Wartings v. 1131, 731 1.24 1133 (7th Ch. 1771).
6	Dated: January 27, 2025 Silmund File Imm
7	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	