

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ZACHARY LLOYD KALSO,
Petitioner,
v.
BUTTE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT,
Respondent.

No. 2:24-cv-01003-TLN-EFB (HC)

ORDER

Petitioner Zachary Lloyd Kalso (“Petitioner”), a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On January 24, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations which were served on Petitioner and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. The deadline has passed and Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed *de novo*. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court[.]”). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by

1 the record and by the proper analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 3 1. The findings and recommendations, (ECF No. 8), are ADOPTED in full;
- 4 2. The motion for release, (ECF No. 4), is DENIED;
- 5 3. The case is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to exhaust; and
- 6 4. The Court DECLINES to issue a certificate of appealability.

7 DATED: March 11, 2025

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



TROY L. NUNLEY
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE