1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WILLIAM SOTO,	No. 2:24-cv-01222-SCR P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND
14	SACRAMENTO MAIN JAIL, et al.,	FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	A recent court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by the postal	
18	service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which requires that	
19	a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. More than sixty-	
20	three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service and plaintiff has	
21	failed to notify the Court of a current address.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign a district judge to	
23	this case.	
24	In addition, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
25	prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b).	
26	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
27	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days	
28	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
		1

1	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings
2	and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen
3	days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
4	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
5	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
6	Date: November 22, 2024
7	
8	Ann Right
9	SEAN C. RIORDAN
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2