1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Aminian Yazdi, Trustee of the Aminian Yazdi No. 2:24-cv-01311-KJM-CKD Trust, 12 **ORDER** Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 Juan Garcia and Aracelia Olivares, 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 Plaintiff commenced this unlawful detainer action in state court under California law as a 19 limited civil case in which the amount claimed does not exceed \$10,000. See Compl. at 14,1 Ex. 20 1, ECF No. 1. Defendant Olivares, who is not represented by counsel, then removed the action to 21 this court. Contrary to the notice of removal, the complaint asserts no federal claims, and the 22 amount in controversy does not exceed \$75,000. This court therefore lacks jurisdiction. See 23 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) (removal jurisdiction); id. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction); id. § 1332 24 (diversity jurisdiction). 25 Defendant alleges in its notice of removal that this action involves a federal question. See 26

Not. Removal at 4. As noted above, however, the complaint asserts no federal claims, and under

¹ All page ranges refer to the page numbers applied by the CM/ECF system at the top right of each page.

1	the longstanding "well-pleaded complaint rule," a suit "arises under" federal law "only when the
2	plaintiff's statement of his own cause of action shows that it is based upon [federal law]."
3	Louisville & Nashville R.R. Co. v. Mottley, 211 U.S. 149, 152 (1908). Federal question
4	jurisdiction cannot rest upon an actual or anticipated defense or counterclaim. See Vaden v.
5	Discover Bank, 556 U.S. 49, 60 (2009).
6	A federal district court may remand a case sua sponte if a defendant has not established
7	federal jurisdiction. See 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c) ("If at any time before final judgment it appears that
8	the district court lacks subject matter jurisdiction, the case shall be remanded"); Enrich v.
9	Touche Ross & Co., 846 F.2d 1190, 1195 (9th Cir. 1988) (citing Wilson v. Republic Iron & Steel
10	Co., 257 U.S. 92, 97 (1921)). This action is therefore remanded to the state court.
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	DATED: May 8, 2024.
13	Mulle
14	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
	t e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e