

1 DAVID M. ROSENBERG-WOHL (S.B.  
 2 #132924)  
 3 david@hrw-law.com  
 4 Hershenson Rosenberg-Wohl,  
 5 A Professional Corporation  
 6 3080 Washington St.  
 7 San Francisco, CA 94115  
 8 Telephone: (415) 317-7756

9 *Attorney for Plaintiff*

MATTHEW R. COWAN (S.B. #281114)  
 mcowan@omm.com  
 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
 400 South Hope Street, 19th Floor  
 Los Angeles, California 90071-2899  
 Telephone: (213) 430-6000  
 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407

MEAGHAN VERGOW\*  
 mvergow@omm.com  
 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
 1625 Eye Street, NW  
 Washington, DC 20006  
 Telephone: (202) 383-5300  
 Facsimile: (202) 383-5414

ANTON METLITSKY\*  
 ametlitsky@omm.com  
 JENNIFER SOKOLER\*  
 jsokoler@omm.com  
 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
 1301 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 1700  
 New York, NY 10019  
 Telephone: (212) 326-2000  
 Facsimile: (212) 326-2061

*Attorneys for Defendants*  
*\*Admitted pro hac vice*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JONATHAN GROVEMAN,  
 Plaintiff,

v.

UC DAVIS, REGENTS OF THE  
 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,  
 MICHAEL V. DRAKE, GARY S. MAY,  
 MARY CROUGHAN, RENETTA  
 GARRISON TULL, CLARE SHINNERL,  
 PABLO REGUERIN, AND DOES 1-10,  
 Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-01421-DJC-AC

**AM. STIPULATED MOTION FOR  
 ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF  
 REGARDING AMENDED  
 COMPLAINT AND BRIEFING  
 SCHEDULE; ORDER**

Assigned to Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta  
 Courtroom 10

1 **TO THE COURT:**

2 Pursuant to Local Rules 143, 144, and 233, the Parties, by and through their  
3 attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and request as follows:

4 WHEREAS, on May 17, 2024, Jonathan Groveman (“Plaintiff”) filed a Complaint  
5 alleging claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 based on alleged violations of Plaintiff’s rights  
6 under the Equal Protection and Free Exercise Clauses, a claim under Title VI of the Civil  
7 Rights Act of 1964, and a claim under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act  
8 (“Complaint”) against Defendants UC Davis, The Regents of the University of California,  
9 Michael V. Drake, Gary S. May, Mary Croughan, Renetta Garrison Tull, Clare Shinnerl,  
10 and Pablo Reguerin (“Defendants”).

11 WHEREAS, the case was initially assigned to Magistrate Judge Allison Claire, who  
12 entered an order on June 24, 2024, extending Defendants’ time to respond to the  
13 Complaint to August 8, 2024 (ECF No. 13).

14 WHEREAS, the case was reassigned to this Court on August 6, 2024 (ECF No.  
15 18).

16 WHEREAS, pursuant to this Court’s Standing Order in Civil Cases, the parties met  
17 and conferred on August 7, 2024, to discuss Defendants’ contemplated motion to dismiss  
18 the Complaint. The parties also conferred, pursuant to this Court’s Initial Case  
19 Management Order, about the Rule 26(f) discovery plan. During the meet and confer, the  
20 parties agreed, subject to the Court’s approval, to set a schedule for the filing of an  
21 amended complaint, responsive motions, and the Rule 26(f) discovery plan. See ECF No.  
22 21.

23 **WHEREAS, in the time between the parties’ filing of the prior Stipulation and**  
24 **the present, there have been significant developments that may have a bearing on**  
25 **this matter, including an August 19, 2024 University of California Office of the**  
26 **President directive for policies impacting expressive activities.**

27 **WHEREAS, Plaintiff seeks a week’s time to consider these developments in**  
28 **the context of the agreed-upon amendment to his complaint and Defendants agree**

1 **that such consideration is in the interest of judicial efficiency;**

2 NOW, THEREFORE, the parties, jointly stipulate, agree, and request that the Court  
3 order the following **amended** schedule.

4 Deadline for Plaintiff to file an amended complaint	September 4, 2024
5 Deadline for Defendants to respond to the amended 6 complaint	September 30, 2024
7 Deadline for the Parties to file the joint Rule 26(f) discovery plan	October 7, 2024

8  
9  
10 Dated: August 27, 2024.

By: /s/ David M. Rosenberg-Wohl  
David M. Rosenberg-Wohl

*Attorney for Plaintiff*

11  
12  
13  
14  
15 Dated: August 27, 2024.

By: /s/ Matthew R. Cowan  
Matthew R. Cowan

*Attorney for Defendants*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ORDER**

The Parties' Stipulated Motion for Administrative Relief is approved.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The deadline for Plaintiff to file an amended complaint is September 4, 2024.
2. The deadline for Defendants to respond to the amended complaint is September 30, 2024.
3. The deadline for the Parties to file the joint Rule 26(f) discovery plan is October 7, 2024.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 28, 2024

/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE