

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA

- No. 2:24-cv-01464-WBS-CSK
- No. 2:24-cv-01466-WBS-CSK
- No. 2:24-cv-01467-WBS-CSK
- No. 2:24-cv-01516-WBS-CSK
- No. 2:24-cv-01536-WBS-CSK
- No. 2:24-cv-01537-WBS-CSK
- No. 2:24-cv-01539-WBS-CSK
- No. 2:24-cv-01548-WBS-CSK
- No. 2:24-cv-01549-WBS-CSK
- No. 2:24-cv-01552-WBS-CSK

**ORDER**


Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the

1 above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious  
2 litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any  
3 proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in  
4 Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.  
5 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of  
6 the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for  
7 review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's  
8 Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.)

9 The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and  
10 finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.<sup>1</sup>

11 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-01464, 2:24-cv-01466, 2:24-cv-  
12 01467, 2:24-cv-01516, 2:24-cv-01536, 2:24-cv-01537, 2:24-cv-01539, 2:24-cv-01548, 2:24-cv-  
13 01549 and 2:24-cv-01552 are DISMISSED; the Clerk of the Court is directed to close these cases.  
14 No further filings will be accepted.

15 Dated: June 10, 2024

  
16 WILLIAM B. SHUBB  
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 <sup>1</sup> In the captions of 2:24-cv-01466 and 2:24-cv-01467, plaintiff names the Tulare County Superior  
26 Court. In the captions of 2:24-cv-01548, 2:24-cv-01549 and 2:24-cv-01552, plaintiff names the  
27 Inyo County Superior Court. To the extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in these  
28 cases in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that  
the claims raised in 2:24-cv-01466, 2:24-cv-01467, 2:24-cv-01548, 2:24-cv-01549 and 2:24-cv-  
01552 are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.