UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA No. 2:24-cv-01448-JAM-CSK IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA No. 2:24-cv-01450-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-01452-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-01453-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-01469-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-01481-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-01484-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-01485-JAM-CSK No. 2:24-cv-01517-JAM-CSK **ORDER** Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any

1	proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in	
2	Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.	
3	13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of	
4	the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for	
5	review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's	
6	Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.)	
7	The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and	
8	finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction. ¹	
9	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-01448, 2:24-cv-01450, 2:24-cv-	
10	01452, 2:24-cv-01453, 2:24-cv-01469, 2:24-cv-01481, 2:24-cv-01484, 2:24-cv-01485 and 2:24-	
11	cv-01517 are DISMISSED ; the Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close these cases. No	
12	further filings will be accepted.	
13		
14	Dated: June 06, 2024	/s/ John A. Mendez THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
15		SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26	In the caption of 2:24-cv-01448, plaintiff names the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In the caption of 2:24-cv-01469, plaintiff names the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. To the extent plaintiff intended to price the plaintiff in the extent plaintiff.	
27	Appeals. To the extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised	

in 2:24-cv-01448 and 2:24-cv-01469 are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal

27

28

conviction.