(HC) Nixon v. Morales			Doc. 4
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED ST.	ATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	BRANDON NIXON,	No. 2:24-CV-1531-DMC-P	
12	Petitioner,		
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	RAUL MORALES,		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Petitioner, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of habeas		
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Pending before the Court is Petitioner's motion for the		
19	appointment of counsel, ECF No. 3.		
20	There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas		
21	proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C.		
22	§ 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice		
23	so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does		
24	not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present		
25	time.		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
		1	

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel, ECF No. 3, is denied without prejudice to renewal, at the earliest, after a response to the petition has been filed.

DENNIS M. COTA

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE