1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ROB BONTA, State Bar No. 202668 Attorney General of California ALICIA A. BOWER, State Bar No. 287799 Supervising Deputy Attorney General ARTHUR B. MARK III, State Bar No. 220865 Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7345 Fax: (916) 324-5205 E-mail: Arthur.Mark@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants J. Macomber, R. Montes and L. Gonzalez		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
12			
13	JANE DOE #1,	2:24-cv-1542 DJC AC P	
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS GONZALEZ,	
15	v.	MACOMBER, AND MONTES TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND	
16	MARCUS JOHNSON, et al.,	AMENDED COMPLAINT	
17	Defendants.	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
18		Judge: Honorable Allison Claire Trial Date: None set	
19		Action Filed: May 31, 2024	
20	STIPULATION		
21	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b), the parties, by and through their attorneys		
22	of record, stipulate as follows:		
23	1. Plaintiff Jane Doe #1 filed her operative Second Amended Complaint on November		
24	21, 2024 (ECF No. 27).		
25	2. On December 6, 2024, the Court issued its screening order regarding the Second		
26	Amended Complaint and ordered Defendants L. Gonzalez, J. Macomber, and R. Montes		
27			
28		1	

(Defendants)¹ to respond to the Second Amended Complaint within 21 days of the filing of the order, making Defendants' initial deadline December 27, 2024 (ECF No. 28).

3 3. On December 26, 2024, the Court granted the parties' stipulated request to extend the
4 time for Defendants to respond to the Second Amended Complaint until January 24, 2025. (ECF
5 No. 31).

4. Since that extension, the parties have met and conferred regarding the claims and 6 7 allegations in Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint and grounds for a motion to dismiss by 8 Defendants, including but not limited to, a challenge to Plaintiff's standing to pursue injunctive 9 relief and whether Plaintiff complied with the California Government Claims Act. Specifically, 10 Defendants' counsel prepared and sent a letter to Plaintiff's counsel on January 17, 2025, 11 outlining arguments for dismissal and the parties then conferred via video-conference on these 12 grounds on January 22, 2025. In addition, the parties are currently considering whether to pursue 13 early ADR, and Defendants have agreed to provide some documents under a protective order to 14 Plaintiff so that the parties may more fully evaluate Plaintiff's claims. Accordingly, additional 15 time to respond to the operative complaint is warranted to allow this exchange of information, 16 including the preparation and entry of a protective order; for additional discussion of the viability 17 of Plaintiff's claims against Defendants; and for the parties to more fully consider whether early 18 ADR is appropriate for this matter.

In addition, Defendants' counsel has been occupied with other matters. Mr. Mark
 was out of the office from December 30, 2024 to January 10, 2025. During Mr. Mark's absence,
 Mr. Glantz was required to work on other pressing matters, including reviewing and responding
 to seven motions for remand in seven separate matters. And Mr. Glantz has been working to
 respond to an administrative subpoena in a matter involving the United States Department of
 Justice, which response is currently due January 24, 2025.

6. The parties have conferred and agree that additional time to respond to the Second
Amended Complaint until February 28, 2025, will allow the parties time to exchange documents,

28

1

2

¹ The undersigned counsel does not represent Defendant Johnson. 2^{2}

1	conduct additional investigation concerning P	Plaintiff's claims, meet and confer further regarding
2	Plaintiff's claims, and consider whether early ADR is appropriate for this matter.	
3	7. Accordingly, the parties stipulate and respectfully request that Defendants L.	
4	Gonzalez, J. Macomber, and R. Montes shall have up to and including February 28, 2025 to	
5	respond to the Second Amended Complaint.	
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
7		
8	Dated: January 24, 2025	<u>/s/ Jenny C. Huang</u> (as authorized January 24, 2025)
9		Jenny C. Huang Attorney for Plaintiff, Jane Doe #1
10		
11	Dated: January 24, 2025	Rob Bonta
12		Attorney General of California ALICIA A. BOWER
13		Supervising Deputy Attorney General ZACHARY GLANTZ
14		Deputy Attorney General
15		/s/ Arthur B. Mark III
16		ARTHUR B. MARK III
17 18		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants L. Gonzalez, J. Macomber, and R. Montes
19		
20	SA2024303498	
21	38734994.docx	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		3

1	
1	(PROPOSED) ORDER
2	Good cause appearing, the parties' stipulation is GRANTED . The deadline for Defendants
3	Gonzalez, Macomber, and Montes to respond to Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint is hereby
4	extended up to and including February 28, 2025.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	DATED: January 24, 2025 allon Clane
7	ALLISON CLAIRE
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	4