28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 LISA CRAIG, No. 2:24-cv-01816-DC-JDP 12 Plaintiff. 13 ORDER RELATING AND REASSIGNING v. 14 TRAVIS CREDIT UNION, 15 Defendant. 16 NATHAN COX. No. 2:24-cy-02171-DC-SCR 17 New Case No. 2:24-cv-02171-DC-JDP Plaintiff, 18 v. 19 TRAVIS CREDIT UNION, 20 Defendant. 21 22 An examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the 23 meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Accordingly, assignment of the above-captioned actions to the 24 same district judge and magistrate judge will promote substantial efficiency and economy for the 25 court and is likely to be convenient for the parties. 26 An order relating cases under this court's Local Rule 123 merely assigns them to the same 27 district judge and magistrate judge—it does not consolidate the cases. The local rules of this

district authorize the judge with the lowest numbered case to order the reassignment of any higher

1

1	numbered cases to himself or herself, upon determining that this assignment is likely to effect a
2	savings of judicial effort. L.R. 123(c). Such good cause appearing here, the court orders that Case
3	No. 2:24-cv-02171-DC-SCR is reassigned to the undersigned and Magistrate Judge Jeremy D.
4	Peterson. The caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as: 2:24-cv-
5	02171-DC-JDP. It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in
6	the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.
7	
8 9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	Dated: January 3, 2025 Dena Coggins
11	United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2526	
27	
41	