1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	GURPREET SINGH,	No. 2:24-cv-01831-DC-JDP (PC)			
12	Plaintiff,				
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING			
14	RIO COSUMNES CORRECTION CENTER,	THIS ACTION			
15	Defendant.	(Doc. Nos. 6, 9)			
16	Derendant.				
17	Plaintiff Gurpreet Singh is proceeding pro se in this civil rights action brought pursuant to				
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28				
19	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.				
20	On November 4, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and				
21	recommendations recommending that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, due to				
22	Plaintiff's failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders. (Doc. No. 9.) In particular,				
23	Plaintiff failed to timely file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to Defendant's pending				
24	motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 6), and Plaintiff failed to file a response to the order to show cause				
25	the court issued on October 9, 2024 (Doc. No. 8). The pending findings and recommendations				
26	were served on the parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within				
27	fourteen (14) days after service. (Doc. No. 9 at 3.) To date, no objections to the findings and				
28	recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed.				

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a			
2	de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the			
3	findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.			
4	Accordin	Accordingly:		
5	1. T	he findings and recommendations i	ssued on November 4, 2024 (Doc. No. 9) are	
6	ac	dopted in full;		
7	2. T	his action is dismissed, without pre	judice, due to Plaintiff's failure to prosecute	
8	th	is action and failure to comply with	h court orders;	
9	3. D	efendant's pending motion to dism	iss (Doc. No. 6) is denied as having been	
10	re	endered moot by this order; and		
11	4. T	he Clerk of the Court is directed to	close this case.	
12				
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
14			I O D Comi	
15	Dated: <u>Nove</u>	<u>mber 22, 2024</u>	Dena Coggins	
16			United States District Judge	
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25 26				
26 27				
27				
20		2		