1	RIVERA HEWITT PAUL LLP		
2	2355 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 170 Gold River, California 95670		
3	T: 916-922-1200 F: 916-922-1303		
4	Shanan L. Hewitt (SBN 200168) shewitt@rhplawyers.com		
5	Wendy Motooka (SBN 233589) wmotooka@rhplawyers.com		
6	Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF STANISLAUS and MARK R	UNYAN	
7			
8	LAW OFFICES OF WALKUP, MELODIA, KELLY & SCHOENBERGER		
9	A Professional Corporation 650 California Street, 26th Floor		
10	San Francisco, California 94108-2615 T: (415) 981-7210 F: (415) 391-6965		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
12	DERICK E. KONZ, ESQ., SB No. 286902		
13	Email: dkonz@akk-law.com WILLIAM J. BITTNER, ESQ. No. 292056 Email: wbittner@akk-law.com ANGELO, KILDAY & KILDUFF, LLP		
14			
15	Attorneys at Law 601 University Avenue, Suite 150		
16	Sacramento, CA 95825 Telephone: (916) 564-6100		
17	Telecopier: (916) 564-6263 Attorneys for Defendants JIMMY NGUYEN		
18			
19	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
20	EASTERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
21	IRINA PALASZESKI, individually and as Successor-in-Interest to THE ESTATE	Case No.: 2:24-CV-01974-DJC-JDP	
22	YURIY GARBUZ,	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR	
23	Plaintiff,	CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS; (PROPOSED)ORDER THEREON	
24	vs.		
25	COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, MARK RUNYAN, JIMMY NGUYEN, and DOES		
26	ONE through FIFTY,		
27	Defendants.		
28			
UL LLP , Suite 170	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED]		
i670)	ORDER THEREON	1	

RIVERA HEWITT PAUL LLP 2355 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 17 Gold River, CA 95670 (916) 922-1200

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED]
ORDER THEREON
Case No. 2:24-cv-01974-DJC-JDP

Disclosure and discovery activity in this action are likely to involve production of confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation may be warranted. Such confidential information includes criminal records, some of which may be subject to a state court sealing order; personnel records; medical and psychiatric records; and other sensitive information or documents.

Therefore, Plaintiffs IRINA PALASZESKI and the ESTATE OF YURIY GARBUZ and Defendants COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, MARK RUNYAN, and JIMMY NGUYEN by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate that the terms of this protective order, if approved by the Court, shall apply to the materials designated as confidential in this action.

STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER

A. **DEFINITIONS**

The following definitions shall apply to this Protective Order:

- 1. The "Action" shall mean and refer to the above-captioned matter and any appeal from the Action, through final judgment.
- 2. "Documents" or "Confidential Documents" shall mean the Documents that plaintiff or defendants designate as "Confidential" in the manner set forth in this Protective Order.
- 3. "Confidential" shall mean information designated "Confidential" pursuant to this Protective Order. Information designated "Confidential" shall be information that is determined in good faith by the attorneys representing the Designating Party to be subject to protection pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Confidential Documents, material, and/or information shall be used solely for purposes of litigation. Confidential Information shall not be used by the non-Designating Party for any business or other purpose, unless agreed to in writing by all Parties to this action or as authorized by further order of the Court.
- 4. "Defendants" shall mean COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, MARK RUNYAN, and JIMMY NGUYEN.
- 5. "Plaintiffs" shall mean IRINA PALASZESKI and the ESTATE OF YURIY GARBUZ.

confidential; and

- (h) The parties to this action and any representatives acting on their behalf for this action.
- 4. Before any Confidential Documents may be disclosed to persons described in Subsections paragraph 3 above, each person to whom such Confidential Documents are disclosed, except for Court officials and employees, shall be provided with a copy of this Protective Order and shall sign a written certification in the form of the undertaking attached hereto and incorporated herein.
- 5. No notes, lists, memoranda, index, electronic images, databases or compilation prepared based wholly or in part upon examination of Confidential Documents shall be disseminated to anyone not authorized to have access to Confidential Documents.
- 6. If the Confidential Documents are filed with any motion or other pleading, a party may seek permission from the Court to file the Confidential Documents under seal according to Local Rule 141. If permission is granted, the Confidential Documents will be filed and served in accordance with Local Rule 141.
- 7. The designation of the Confidential Documents as "Confidential" and the subsequent production thereof is without prejudice to the right of any party to oppose the admissibility of the Confidential Documents or information contained therein.
- A party or non-party does not waive its right to challenge a confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed. The Challenging Party shall initiate the dispute resolution process by providing written notice of each designation it is challenging and describing the basis for each challenge. The parties shall attempt to resolve each challenge in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly (in voice-to-voice dialogue other forms of communication are not sufficient) within seven (7) days of the date of receiving notice of the challenge. In conferring, the Challenging Party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper and must give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no

change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the chosen designation. A Challenging Party may proceed to the next stage of the challenge process only if it has engaged in this meet and confer process first or establishes that the Designating Party is unwilling to participate in the meet and confer process in a timely manner. If the Parties cannot resolve a challenge without Court intervention, the Designating Party may file and serve a motion for protective order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c) and E.D. Cal. L.R. 251 within twenty-one (21) days of the initial notice of challenge. Failure by the Designating Party to make such a motion within twenty-one (21) days shall automatically waive the "Confidential" designation for each challenged designation. In addition, the Challenging Party may file a motion challenging a confidentiality designation at any time if there is good cause for doing so. The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the Designating Party. Unless the Designating Party has waived the confidentiality designation by failing to file a motion to retain confidentiality as described above, all parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Designating Party's designation until the Court rules on the challenge.

- 9. Notwithstanding the provisions of Paragraph 3, the Confidential Documents and information contained therein may not be delivered, exhibited or otherwise disclosed to any reporter, writer or employee of any trade publication, newspaper, magazine or other media organization, including but not limited to radio and television media, or via social media, provided that the Challenging Party has not obtained, by challenge or otherwise, the removal of the confidentiality designation from the Confidential Documents.
- 10. Should the Confidential Documents or any information contained therein be disclosed, through inadvertence or otherwise, to any person not authorized to receive it under this Protective Order, the disclosing person(s) shall promptly (a) inform counsel for the Defendants of the recipient(s) and the circumstances of the unauthorized disclosure to the relevant producing person(s) and (b) use best efforts to bind the recipient(s) to the terms of this Protective Order.
- 11. A Confidential Document shall not lose its confidential status because it was inadvertently or unintentionally disclosed to a person not authorized to receive it under this Protective Order.

1	DATE: November 20, 2024	ANGELO, KILDAY & KILDUFF, LLP
2		
3		/s/ Derick E. Konz [as authorized on 11-20-2024] DERICK E. KONZ
4		WILLIAM J. BITTNER Attorneys for Defendant JIMMY NGUYEN
5		Thursday's for Bereindant shifter 1 1000 1 Erv
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 UL LLP , Suite 170		
Suite 170	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER; [PROPOSED]	

RIVERA HEWITT PAUL LLP 2355 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 170 Gold River, CA 95670 (916) 922-1200

CERTIFICATION RE AGREEMENT CONCERNING DOCUMENTS COVERED BY PROTECTIVE ORDER

2	COVERED BY PROTECTIVE ORDER			
3				
4	I hereby certify my understanding that Confidential Documents are being provided to me			
5	pursuant to the terms and restrictions of the Protective Order dated (hereinafter			
6	"Order"), in Palaszeski v. County of Stanislaus et al, E.D. Cal. Case No. 2:24-cv-01974-DJC-			
7	JDP.			
8	I have been given a copy of that Order and read it.			
9	I agree to be bound by the Order. I will not reveal Confidential Documents to anyone,			
10	except as allowed by the Order. I will maintain all such Confidential Documents - including			
11	copies, notes, or other transcriptions made therefrom – in a secure matter to prevent unauthorized			
12	access to it. Not later than thirty (30) days after the conclusion of this action, I shall either (a)			
13	return such documents to counsel for the party or non-party who provided such information, or at			
14	my election, (b) destroy such documents and certify in writing that the documents have been			
15	destroyed.			
16	I hereby consent to the jurisdiction of the Eastern District of California for the purpose of			
17	enforcing the Order.			
18	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this			
19	certificate is executed this, day of, at,			
20	·			
21				
22	By:			
23	Address:			
24				
25				
26	Phone:			
27				
28				

28
RIVERA HEWITT PAUL LLP
2355 Gold Meadow Way, Suite 170
Gold River, CA 95670
(916) 922-1200

1

ORDER

Having reviewed the stipulation of the parties, is hereby ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Stipulation is GRANTED;
- 2. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, requests to seal documents shall be made by motion before the same judge who will decide the matter related to that request to seal.
- 3. The designation of documents (including transcripts of testimony) as confidential pursuant to this order does not automatically entitle the parties to file such a document with the court under seal. Parties are advised that any request to seal documents in this district is governed by Local Rule 141. In brief, Local Rule 141 provides that documents may only be sealed by a written order of the court after a specific request to seal has been made. L.R. 141(a). However, a mere request to seal is not enough under the local rules. In particular, Local Rule 141(b) requires that "[t]he 'Request to Seal Documents' shall set forth the statutory or other authority for sealing, the requested duration, the identity, by name or category, of persons to be permitted access to the document, and all relevant information." L.R. 141(b).
- 4. A request to seal material must normally meet the high threshold of showing that "compelling reasons" support secrecy; however, where the material is, at most, "tangentially related" to the merits of a case, the request to seal may be granted on a showing of "good cause." *Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC*, 809 F.3d 1092, 1096-1102 (9th Cir. 2016); *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-80 (9th Cir. 2006).
- 5. Nothing in this order shall limit the testimony of parties or non-parties, or the use of certain documents, at any court hearing or trial such determinations will only be made by the court at the hearing or trial, or upon an appropriate motion.
- 6. The parties may not modify the terms of this Protective Order without the Court's approval. If the parties agree to a potential modification, they shall submit a stipulation and proposed order for the Court's consideration.
- 7. Pursuant to Local Rule 141.1(f), the court will not retain jurisdiction over enforcement of the terms of this Protective Order after the action is terminated.
 - 8. Any provision in the parties' stipulation that is in conflict with anything in this

1	order is hereby DISAPPROVED.	
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3		
4	Dated: November 26, 2024	JEREMY D. PETERSON
5		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13 14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		