

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VANESSA MILLER, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY,  
Defendant.

No. 2:20-cv-01796-DAD-CKD

ORDER RELATING AND REASSIGNING  
CASE

TREVOR NELSON, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
FORD MOTOR COMPANY,  
Defendant.

No. 2:24-cv-02231-DJC-CKD

**New Case No. 2:24-cv-02231-DAD-CKD**


An examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Accordingly, assignment of the above-captioned actions to the same district judge and magistrate judge will promote substantial efficiency and economy for the court and is likely to be convenient for the parties.

/////  
/////  
/////

1 An order relating cases under this court’s Local Rule 123 merely assigns them to the same  
2 district judge and magistrate judge—it does not consolidate the cases. The local rules of this  
3 district authorize the judge with the lowest numbered case to order the reassignment of any higher  
4 numbered cases to himself or herself, upon determining that this assignment is likely to effect a  
5 savings of judicial effort. L.R. 123(c). Such good cause appearing here, the court orders that  
6 Case No. 2:24-cv-02231-DJC-CKD is reassigned to the undersigned and Magistrate Judge  
7 Carolyn K. Delaney. The caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as:  
8 2:24-cv-02231-DAD-CKD. It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate  
9 adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: October 19, 2024

12   
13 \_\_\_\_\_  
14 DALE A. DROZD  
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE